

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES

1215 O Street, MS 9-90
Sacramento, CA 95814
TTY: 711
833-421-0061



October 12, 2022

Mike Sawyer, Board President
Redwood Coast Regional Center
1116 Airport Park Boulevard
Ukiah, CA 95482

Dear Mr. Sawyer:

The Department of Developmental Services' (DDS) Audit Section has completed the audit of the Redwood Coast Regional Center (RCRC). The period of review was from July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2021, with follow-up as needed into prior and subsequent periods. The enclosed report discusses the areas reviewed along with the findings and recommendations. The audit report includes the response submitted by RCRC as Appendix A and DDS' reply on page 18.

If there is a disagreement with the audit findings, a written "Statement of Disputed Issues" may be filed with DDS' Audit Appeals Unit, pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 17, Section 50730, Request for Administrative Review (excerpt enclosed). The "Statement of Disputed Issues" must be filed and submitted within 30 days of receipt of this audit report to the address below:

Office of Legal Affairs
Department of Developmental Services
P.O. Box 944202
Sacramento, CA 94299-9974


The cooperation of RCRC's staff in completing the audit is appreciated.

"Building Partnerships, Supporting Choices"

Mike Sawyer, Board President
October 12, 2022
Page two

If you have any questions regarding the audit report, please contact Edward Yan,
Manager, Audit Section, at (916) 651-8207.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

38BD4A5930324CE...

PETE CERVINKA
Chief Deputy Director
Data Analytics and Strategy

Enclosure(s)

cc: Kimberly Smalley, RCRC
Amy Medina, RCRC
Bob Sands, DHCS
Carla Castañeda, DDS
Brian Winfield, DDS
Hiren Patel, DDS
Jim Knight, DDS
Ernie Cruz, DDS
Aaron Christian, DDS
Ann Nakamura, DDS
Yasir Ali, DDS
Edward Yan, DDS
Luciah Ellen Nzima, DDS
Oscar Perez, DDS

California Code of Regulations
Title 17, Division 2
Chapter 1 - General Provisions
Subchapter 7 - Fiscal Audit Appeals
Article 2 - Administrative Review

§50730. Request for Administrative Review.

a) An individual, entity, or organization which disagrees with any portion or aspect of an audit report issued by the Department or regional center may request an administrative review. The appellant's written request shall be submitted to the Department within 30 days after the receipt of the audit report. The request may be amended at any time during the 30-day period.

(b) If the appellant does not submit the written request within the 30-day period, the appeals review officer shall deny such request, and all audit exceptions or findings in the report shall be deemed final unless the appellant establishes good cause for late filing.

(c) The request shall be known as a "Statement of Disputed Issues." It shall be in writing, signed by the appellant or his/her authorized agent, and shall state the address of the appellant and of the agent, if any agent has been designated. An appellant shall specify the name and address of the individual authorized on behalf of the appellant to receive any and all documents, including the final decision of the Director, relating to proceedings conducted pursuant to this subchapter. The Statement of Disputed Issues need not be formal, but it shall be both complete and specific as to each audit exception or finding being protested. In addition, it shall set forth all of the appellant's contentions as to those exceptions or findings, and the estimated dollar amount of each exception or finding being appealed.

(d) If the appeals review officer determines that a Statement of Disputed Issues fails to state the grounds upon which objections to the audit report are based, with sufficient completeness and specificity for full resolution of the issues presented, he/she shall notify the appellant, in writing, that it does not comply with the requirements of this subchapter.

(e) The appellant has 15 days after the date of mailing of such notice within which to file an amended Statement of Disputed Issues. If the appellant does not amend his/her appeal to correct the stated deficiencies within the time permitted, all audit exceptions or findings affected shall be dismissed from the appeal, unless good cause is shown for the noncompliance.

(f) The appellant shall attach to the Statement of Disputed Issues all documents which he/she intends to introduce into evidence in support of stated contentions. An appellant that is unable to locate, prepare, or compile such documents within the appeal period specified in Subsection (a) above, shall include a statement to this effect in the Statement of Disputed Issues. The appellant shall have an additional 30 days after the expiration of the initial 30-day period in which to submit the documents. Documents that are not submitted within this period shall not be accepted into evidence at any stage of the appeal process unless good cause is shown for the failure to present the documents within the prescribed period.



**AUDIT OF THE
REDWOOD COAST REGIONAL CENTER
FOR FISCAL YEARS 2019-20 AND 2020-21**

Department of Developmental Services

October 12, 2022

This audit report was prepared by the
California Department of Developmental Services
1215 O Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Pete Cervinka, Chief Deputy Director, Data Analytics and Strategy
Ann Nakamura, Branch Chief, Research, Audit, and Evaluation Branch
Edward Yan, Manager, Audit Section
Luciah Ellen Nzima, Chief, Regional Center Audit Unit
Oscar Perez, Supervisor, Regional Center Audit Unit

Audit Staff: Carlos Whylesmenchaca, Chanta Ham and Gordon Ho

For more information, please call: (916) 654-3695

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
BACKGROUND	2
Authority	3
Criteria.....	3
Audit Period.....	3
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY.....	4
I. Purchase of Service	5
II. Regional Center Operations.....	5
III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study	6
IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey.....	6
V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding).....	7
VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)	7
VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF)	8
VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP).....	8
IX. Procurement.....	9
X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates.....	10
XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS.....	11
XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Findings.....	12
CONCLUSIONS.....	13
VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS	14
RESTRICTED USE	15
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	16
EVALUATION OF RESPONSE.....	18
ATTACHMENT.....	A
REGIONAL CENTER'S RESPONSE	Appendix A

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Developmental Services (DDS) conducted a fiscal compliance audit of Redwood Coast Regional Center (RCRC) to ensure RCRC is compliant with the requirements set forth in the Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act and Related Laws/Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code; the Home and Community-based Services (HCBS) Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 17; Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the contract with DDS. Overall, the audit indicated that RCRC maintains accounting records and supporting documentation for transactions in an organized manner.

The audit period was July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2021, with follow-up, as needed, into prior and subsequent periods. This report identifies some areas where RCRC's administrative and operational controls could be strengthened, but none of the findings were of a nature that would indicate systemic issues or constitute major concerns regarding RCRC's operations. A follow-up review was performed to ensure RCRC has taken corrective action to resolve the findings identified in the prior DDS audit report.

Findings that need to be addressed.

Finding 1: Overstated Claims

The review of the Operational Indicator Reports revealed nine instances where RCRC overpaid seven vendors a total of \$2,739.27. The overstated claims were due to duplicate payments, overlapping authorizations, or payments made above the authorized number of units. This is not in compliance with CCR, Title 17, Section 54326(a)(10) and Section 57300(c)(2).

RCRC provided additional documentation with its response indicating overstated claims totaling \$2,739.27 have been corrected. Therefore, this issue is considered resolved.

Finding 2: Comprehensive Physical Inventory not Conducted

The review of RCRC's physical inventory listing revealed that RCRC has not conducted a comprehensive physical inventory since June 2017. RCRC is required to conduct a comprehensive physical inventory at least once every three years. This is not in compliance with the State's Equipment Management Guidelines, Section III (F) and the State Administrative Manual (SAM) 8652.

BACKGROUND

DDS is responsible, under the W&I Code, for ensuring that persons with developmental disabilities (DD) receive the services and supports they need to lead more independent, productive, and integrated lives. To ensure that these services and supports are available, DDS contracts with 21 private, nonprofit community agencies/corporations that provide fixed points of contact in the community for serving eligible individuals with DD and their families in California. These fixed points of contact are referred to as regional centers (RCs). The RCs are responsible under State law to help ensure that such persons receive access to the programs and services that are best suited to them throughout their lifetime.

DDS is also responsible for providing assurance to the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), that services billed under California's HCBS Waiver program are provided and that criteria set forth for receiving funds have been met. As part of DDS' program for providing this assurance, the Audit Section conducts fiscal compliance audits of each RC no less than every two years and completes follow-up reviews in alternate years. Also, DDS requires RCs to contract with independent Certified Public Accountants (CPAs) to conduct an annual financial statement audit. The DDS audit is designed to wrap around the independent CPA's audit to ensure comprehensive financial accountability.

In addition to the fiscal compliance audit, RCRC will also be monitored by the DDS Federal Programs Operations Section to assess overall programmatic compliance with HCBS Waiver requirements. The HCBS Waiver compliance monitoring review has its own criteria and processes. These audits and program reviews are an essential part of an overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on RCRCs' fiscal, administrative, and program operations.

DDS and Redwood Coast Regional Center, Inc. entered into State Contract HD 149009, effective July 1, 2019, through June 20, 2026, respectively. This contract specifies that Redwood Coast Regional Center, Inc. will operate an agency known as RCRC to provide services to individuals with DD and their families in Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendocino and Lake Counties. The contract is funded by State and Federal funds that are dependent upon RCRC performing certain tasks, providing services to eligible consumers, and submitting billings to DDS.

This audit was conducted remotely from January 31, 2022, through March 4, 2022, by the Audit Section of DDS.

AUTHORITY

The audit was conducted under the authority of the W&I Code, Section 4780.5 and Article IV, Section 3 of the State Contract between DDS and RCRC.

CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for this audit:

- W&I Code,
- “Approved Application for the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled,”
- CCR, Title 17,
- OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- The State Contract between DDS and RCRC, effective July 1, 2019.

AUDIT PERIOD

The audit period was July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2021, with follow-up, as needed, into prior and subsequent periods.

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

This audit was conducted as part of the overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on RCs' fiscal, administrative, and program operations. The objectives of this audit were:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code,
- To determine compliance with the provisions of the HCBS Waiver Program for the Developmentally Disabled,
- To determine compliance with CCR, Title 17 regulations,
- To determine compliance with OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- To determine that costs claimed were in compliance with the provisions of the State Contract between DDS and RCRC.

The audit was conducted in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. However, the procedures do not constitute an audit of RCRC's financial statements. DDS limited the scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that RCRC was in compliance with the objectives identified above. Accordingly, DDS examined transactions on a test basis to determine whether RCRC was in compliance with the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17; OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and RCRC.

DDS' review of RCRC's internal control structure was conducted to gain an understanding of the transaction flow and the policies and procedures, as necessary, to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

DDS reviewed the annual audit report that was conducted by an independent CPA firm for Fiscal Year (FY) 2019-20, issued on March 10, 2021. It was noted that no management letter was issued for RCRC. This review was performed to determine the impact, if any, upon the DDS audit and, as necessary, develop appropriate audit procedures.

The audit procedures performed included the following:

I. Purchase of Service

DDS selected a sample of POS claims billed to DDS. The sample included consumer services and vendor rates. The sample also included consumers who were eligible for the HCBS Waiver Program. For POS claims, the following procedures were performed:

- DDS tested the sample items to determine if the payments made to service providers were properly claimed and could be supported by appropriate documentation.
- DDS selected a sample of invoices for service providers with daily and hourly rates, standard monthly rates, and mileage rates to determine if supporting attendance documentation was maintained by RCRC. The rates charged for the services provided to individual consumers were reviewed to ensure compliance with the provision of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17, OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and RCRC.
- DDS analyzed all RCRC's bank accounts to determine whether DDS had signatory authority, as required by the State Contract with DDS.
- DDS selected a sample of bank reconciliations for OPS accounts to determine if the reconciliations were properly completed on a monthly basis.

II. Regional Center Operations

DDS selected a sample of OPS claims billed to DDS to determine compliance with the State Contract. The sample included various expenditures claimed for administration that were reviewed to ensure RCRC's accounting staff properly input data, transactions were recorded on a timely basis, and expenditures charged to various operating areas were valid and reasonable. The following procedures were performed:

- A sample of the personnel files, timesheets, payroll ledgers, and other support documents were selected to determine if there were any overpayments or errors in the payroll or the payroll deductions.
- A sample of OPS expenses, including, but not limited to, purchases of office supplies, consultant contracts, insurance expenses, and lease agreements were tested to determine compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the State Contract.

- A sample of equipment was selected and physically inspected to determine compliance with requirements of the State Contract.
- DDS reviewed RCRC's policies and procedures for compliance with the DDS Conflict of Interest regulations, and DDS selected a sample of personnel files to determine if the policies and procedures were followed.

III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study

The TCM Rate Study determines the DDS rate of reimbursement from the federal government. The following procedures were performed upon the study:

- Reviewed applicable TCM records and RCRC's Rate Study. DDS examined the months of May 2020 and May 2021 and traced the reported information to source documents.
- Reviewed RCRC's TCM Time Study. DDS selected a sample of payroll timesheets for this review and compared timesheets to the Case Management Time Study Forms (DS 1916) to ensure that the forms were properly completed and supported.

IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey

Under the W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e), RCs are required to provide service coordinator caseload data to DDS. The following average service coordinator-to-consumer ratios apply per W&I Code Section 4640.6(c)(1)(2)(3)(A)(B)(C):

- “(c) Contracts between the department and regional centers shall require regional centers to have service coordinator-to-consumer ratios, as follows:
- (1) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62 for all consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 79 consumers for more than 60 days.
 - (2) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 45 for all consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 59 consumers for more than 60 days.
 - (3) Commencing January 1, 2004, the following coordinator-to-consumer ratios shall apply:
 - (A) All consumers three years of age and younger and for

consumers enrolled in the Home and Community-based Services Waiver program for persons with developmental disabilities, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.

- (B) All consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993, and have lived continuously in the community for at least 12 months, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.
- (C) All consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993, and who are not described in subparagraph (A), an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 66.”

DDS also reviewed the Service Coordinator Caseload Survey methodology used in calculating the caseload ratios to determine reasonableness and that supporting documentation is maintained to support the survey and the ratios as required by W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e).

V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding)

For the EIP, there are several sections contained in the Early Start Plan. However, only the Part C section was applicable for this review.

VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)

The FCPP was created for the purpose of assessing consumer costs to parents based on income level and dependents. The family cost participation assessments are only applied to respite, day care, and camping services that are included in the child’s Individual Program Plan (IPP)/Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP). To determine whether RCRC was in compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the W&I Code, Section 4783, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the list of consumers who received respite, day care, and camping services, for ages 0 through 17 years who live with their parents and are not Medi-Cal eligible, to determine their contribution for the FCPP.
- Reviewed the parents’ income documentation to verify their level of participation based on the FCPP Schedule.
- Reviewed copies of the notification letters to verify that the parents were notified of their assessed cost participation within 10 working days of receipt of the parents’ income documentation.

- Reviewed vendor payments to verify that RCRC was paying for only its assessed share of cost.

VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF)

The AFPF was created for the purpose of assessing an annual fee of up to \$200 based on the income level of families with children between the ages of 0 through 17 years receiving qualifying services through the RC. The AFPF fee shall not be assessed or collected if the child receives only respite, day care, or camping services from the RC and a cost for participation was assessed to the parents under FCPP. To determine whether RCRC was in compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4785, DDS requested a list of AFPF assessments and verified the following:

- The adjusted gross family income is at or above 400 percent of the federal poverty level based upon family size.
- The child has a DD or is eligible for services under the California Early Intervention Services Act.
- The child is less than 18 years of age and lives with his or her parent.
- The child or family receives services beyond eligibility determination, needs assessment, and service coordination.
- The child does not receive services through the Medi-Cal program.
- Documentation was maintained by the RC to support reduced assessments.

VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP)

The PFP was created for the purpose of prescribing financial responsibility to parents of children under the age of 18 years who are receiving 24-hour, out-of-home care services through an RC or who are residents of a state hospital or on leave from a state hospital. Parents shall be required to pay a fee depending upon their ability to pay, but not to exceed (1) the cost of caring for a child without DD at home, as determined by the Director of DDS, or (2) the cost of services provided, whichever is less. To determine whether RCRC is in compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4782, DDS requested a list of PFP assessments and verified the following:

- Identified all children with DD who are receiving the following services:
 - (a) All 24-hour, out-of-home community care received through an RC for children under the age of 18 years;

(b) 24-hour care for such minor children in state hospitals. Provided, however, that no ability to pay determination shall be made for services required by state or federal law, or both, to be provided to children without charge to their parents.

- Provided DDS with a listing of new placements, terminated cases, and client deaths for those clients. Such listings shall be provided not later than the 20th day of the month following the month of such occurrence.
- Informed parents of children who will be receiving services that DDS is required to determine parents' ability to pay and to assess, bill, and collect parental fees.
- Provided parents a package containing an informational letter, a Family Financial Statement (FFS), and a return envelope within 10 working days after placement of a minor child.
- Provided DDS a copy of each informational letter given or sent to parents, indicating the addressee and the date given or mailed.

IX. Procurement

The Request for Proposal (RFP) process was implemented to ensure RCs outline the vendor selection process when using the RFP process to address consumer service needs. As of January 1, 2011, DDS requires RCs to document their contracting practices, as well as how particular vendors are selected to provide consumer services. By implementing a procurement process, RCs will ensure that the most cost-effective service providers, amongst comparable service providers, are selected, as required by the Lanterman Act and the State Contract. To determine whether RCRC implemented the required RFP process, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed RCRC's contracting process to ensure the existence of a Board-approved procurement policy and to verify that the RFP process ensures competitive bidding, as required by Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP contracting policy to determine whether the protocols in place included applicable dollar thresholds and comply with Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP notification process to verify that it is open to the public and clearly communicated to all vendors. All submitted proposals are evaluated by a team of individuals to determine whether proposals are properly documented, recorded, and authorized by appropriate officials at RCRC. The process was reviewed to ensure that the vendor selection

process is transparent and impartial and avoids the appearance of favoritism. Additionally, DDS verified that supporting documentation is retained for the selection process and, in instances where a vendor with a higher bid is selected, written documentation is retained as justification for such a selection.

DDS performed the following procedures to determine compliance with Article II of the State Contract for contracts in place as of January 1, 2011:

- Selected a sample of Operations, Community Placement Plan (CPP), and negotiated POS contracts subject to competitive bidding to ensure RCRC notified the vendor community and the public of contracting opportunities available.
- Reviewed the contracts to ensure that RCRC has adequate and detailed documentation for the selection and evaluation process of vendor proposals and written justification for final vendor selection decisions and that those contracts were properly signed and executed by both parties to the contract.

In addition, DDS performed the following procedures:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4625.5 for contracts in place as of March 24, 2011: Reviewed to ensure RCRC has a written policy requiring the Board to review and approve any of its contracts of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) or more before entering into a contract with the vendor.
- Reviewed RCRC Board-approved Operations, Start-Up, and POS vendor contracts of \$250,000 or more, to ensure the inclusion of a provision for fair and equitable recoupment of funds for vendors that cease to provide services to consumers; verified that the funds provided were specifically used to establish new or additional services to consumers, the usage of funds is of direct benefit to consumers, and the contracts are supported with sufficiently detailed and measurable performance expectations and results.

The process above was conducted in order to assess RCRC's current RFP process and Board approval for contracts of \$250,000 or more, as well as to determine whether the process in place satisfies the W&I Code and RCRC's State Contract requirements, as amended.

X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates

The Statewide and RC Median Rates were implemented on July 1, 2008, and amended on December 15, 2011 and July 1, 2016, to ensure that RCs are not negotiating rates higher than the set median rates for services. Despite the

median rate requirement, rate increases could be obtained from DDS under health and safety exemptions where RCs demonstrate the exemption is necessary for the health and safety of the consumers.

To determine whether RCRC was in compliance with the Lanterman Act, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed sample vendor files to determine whether RCRC is using appropriately vendorized service providers and correct service codes, and that RCRC is paying authorized contract rates and complying with the median rate requirements of W&I Code, Section 4691.9.
- Reviewed vendor contracts to ensure that RCRC is reimbursing vendors using authorized contract median rates and verified that rates paid represented the lower of the statewide or RC median rate set after June 30, 2008. Additionally, DDS verified that providers vendorized before June 30, 2008, did not receive any unauthorized rate increases, except in situations where required by regulation, or health and safety exemptions were granted by DDS.
- Reviewed vendor contracts to ensure that RCRC did not negotiate rates with new service providers for services which are higher than the RC's median rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the statewide median rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower. DDS also ensured that units of service designations conformed with existing RC designations or, if none exists, ensured that units of service conformed to a designation used to calculate the statewide median rate for the same service code.

XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS

RCs may receive other sources of funding from DDS. DDS performed sample tests on identified sources of funds from DDS to ensure RCRC's accounting staff were inputting data properly, and that transactions were properly recorded and claimed. In addition, tests were performed to determine if the expenditures were reasonable and supported by documentation. The sources of funding from DDS identified in this audit are:

- CalFresh;
- CPP;
- MHSA;
- Part C – Early Start Program; and

- Self Determination.

XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Findings

As an essential part of the overall DDS monitoring system, a follow-up review of the prior DDS audit findings was conducted. DDS identified prior audit findings that were reported to RCRC and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the degree of completeness of RCRC's implementation of corrective actions.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the audit procedures performed, DDS has determined that except for the items identified in the Findings and Recommendations section, RCRC was in compliance with applicable sections of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17; OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and RCRC for the audit period, July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2021.

The costs claimed during the audit period were for program purposes and adequately supported.

From the review of the three prior audit findings, it has been determined that RCRC has taken appropriate corrective action to resolve all prior audit findings.

VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS

DDS issued the draft audit report on July 19, 2022. The findings in the draft audit report were discussed at a formal exit conference with RCRC on July 22, 2022. The views of RCRC's responsible officials are included in this final audit report.

RESTRICTED USE

This audit report is solely for the information and use of DDS, CMS, Department of Health Care Services, and RCRC. This restriction does not limit distribution of this audit report, which is a matter of public record.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings that need to be addressed.

Finding 1: Overstated Claims

The review of the Operational Indicator Reports revealed nine instances where RCRC overstated claims for seven vendors totaling \$2,739.27. The overstated claims were due to duplicate payments, overlapping authorizations, or payments made above the authorized number of units. RCRC indicated that the overstated claims occurred due to errors on its part. (See Attachment A)

RCRC provided additional documentation with its response indicating overpayments totaling \$2,739.27 has been corrected. Therefore, this issue is considered resolved.

Title 17, Section 54326(a)(10) states in part:

(a) "All vendors shall...

(10) Bill only for services which are actually provided to consumers and which have been authorized by the referring regional center."

In addition, Title 17, section 57300(c)(2) states in part:

(c) "Regional centers shall not reimburse vendors: ...

(2) For services in an amount greater than the rate established pursuant to these regulations."

Recommendation:

RCRC must ensure its staff monitors the Operational Indicator Reports regularly for errors that may have occurred while doing business with its vendors.

Finding 2: Comprehensive Physical Inventory Not Conducted

The review of RCRC's physical inventory listing revealed that RCRC has not conducted a comprehensive physical inventory since June 2017. RCRC is required to complete a comprehensive physical inventory at least once every three years. RCRC provided documents indicating that its employee began conducting the physical inventory as of March 2021; however, RCRC could

not provide signed inventory worksheets indicating that the physical inventory was completed.

State's Equipment Management Guidelines Section III (F), dated February 1, 2003, states in part:

“Each RC shall conduct a comprehensive physical inventory of all state-owned, nonexpendable equipment and sensitive equipment, as defined in Attachment A, at least once every three years. The inventory will be conducted per State Administrative Manual (SAM) Section 8652.”

State Administrative Manual (SAM) 8652 states in part:

“Departments will make a physical count of all property and reconcile the count with accounting records at least once every three years.”

Recommendation:

RCRC must ensure a comprehensive physical inventory is conducted at least once every three years to ensure compliance with the State's Equipment Management Guidelines, Section III (F) and the State Administrative Manual (SAM) 8652.

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE

As part of the audit report process, RCRC was provided with a draft audit report and requested to provide a response to the findings. RCRC's response dated August 22, 2022, is provided as Appendix A.

DDS' Audit Section has evaluated RCRC's response and will confirm the appropriate corrective actions have been taken during the next scheduled audit.

Finding 1: Overstated Claims

RCRC agreed with the overstated claims finding totaling \$2,739.27. RCRC provided supporting documentation with its response indicating the \$2,739.27 in overstated claims have been corrected. Therefore, this issue is considered resolved.

Finding 2: Comprehensive Physical Inventory Not Conducted

RCRC agreed with the finding and indicated that all parties involved with the comprehensive physical inventory will receive training. Additionally, the property custodian will review the supporting documentation to ensure the comprehensive physical inventory has been properly completed. RCRC also indicated it will schedule its comprehensive physical inventory in fiscal year 2022-23.

**Redwood Coast Regional Center
Overstated Claims
Fiscal Years 2019-20 and 2020-21**

No.	Unique Client Identification Number	Vendor Number	Service Code	Sub Code	Service Month	Authorization Number	Overstated Claims	Corrected	Outstanding Balance
1	7031046	PR1004	612	01HR	Dec-20	21213670	\$1,344.00	\$1,344.00	\$0.00
2	7034595	PR1060	116	ASSES	Dec-19	20205570	\$259.17	\$259.17	\$0.00
3	7034599	PR1006	116	ASSST	Nov-19	20205445	\$293.55	\$293.55	\$0.00
4	7035688	HR0488	805	ASSES	Aug-20	21211218	\$503.16	\$503.16	\$0.00
5	7035741	PR1038	116	EVCNF	Dec-19	20205852	\$48.91	\$48.91	\$0.00
6	7035776	P21402	707	EVCON	Mar-20	20207149	\$21.19	\$21.19	\$0.00
7				EVRPT	Mar-19		\$34.91	\$34.91	\$0.00
8				OEVAL	Feb-20		\$66.05	\$66.05	\$0.00
9	7036006	PR0887	620	ASSES	Jul-20	21211645	\$168.33	\$168.33	\$0.00
Total Overstated Claims							\$2,739.27	\$2,739.27	\$0.00

APPENDIX A

REDWOOD COAST REGIONAL CENTER

**RESPONSE
TO AUDIT FINDINGS**

(Certain documents provided by the Redwood Coast Regional Center as attachments to its response are not included in this report due to the detailed and sometimes confidential nature of the information.)



Redwood Coast Regional Center

Respecting Choice in the Redwood Community

August 22, 2022

Edward Yan, Manager
Audit Branch
Department of Developmental Services
Clifford Allenby Building
1215 O Street MS 10-20
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Yan,

Thank you for your correspondence of July 19, 2022, in which you provided a draft copy of the audit report of your findings from the audit your staff performed addressing the operations of Redwood Coast Regional center for fiscal years 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. As provided in your correspondence, I would like to take this opportunity to formally respond in writing to the draft audit report.

Finding 1: Overstated Claims

The review of the Operational Indicator Reports revealed nine instances where RCRC overstated claims for seven vendors totaling \$2,739.27. The overstated claims were due to duplicate payments, overlapping authorizations, or payments made above the authorized number of units. RCRC indicated that the overpayments occurred due to an error on its part. (See Attachment A)

Title 17, Section 54326(a)(10) states in part:

- (a) "All vendors shall...
(10) Bill only for services which are actually provided to consumers and which have been authorized by the referring regional center."

In addition, Title 17, section 57300(c)(2) states in part:

- (c) "Regional centers shall not reimburse vendors: ...
(2) For services in an amount greater than the rate established pursuant to these regulations."

☐ 525 2nd Street, Ste. 300 - Eureka, CA 95501 - (707) 445-0893

☐ 1116 Airport Park Blvd. - Ukiah, CA 95482 - (707) 462-3832

☐ 270 Chestnut St., Suite A - Fort Bragg, CA 95437 - (707) 964-6387

☐ 1301 A Northcrest Dr.-Crescent City, CA 95531 (707) 464-7488

☐ 180 3rd Street - Lakeport, CA 95453 (707) 262-0470

Recommendation:

RCRC must reimburse DDS the overstated claims totaling \$2,739.27 for the overstated claims. In addition, RCRC must ensure its staff monitors the Operational Indicator Reports regularly for errors that may have occurred while doing business with its vendors.

Response to Finding 1:

Of the \$2,739.27 overstated claims RCRC has collected overpayment for \$2,739.27. Of the payments collected \$1,808.61 has been processed through the UFS system. A payment of \$671.49 has been issued to DDS on August 18, 2022, which was an offset from the provider's additional vendorization. The remaining \$259.17 overpayment was received as a check from the provider on August 17, 2022, and we will issue a payment to DDS by August 31, 2022.

Finding 2: Comprehensive Physical Inventory Not Conducted

The review of RCRC's physical inventory listing revealed that RCRC has not conducted a comprehensive physical inventory since June 2017. RCRC is required to complete a comprehensive physical inventory at least once every three years. RCRC provided documents indicating that its employee began conducting the physical inventory as of March 2021; however, RCRC could not provide signed inventory worksheets indicating that the physical inventory was completed.

State's Equipment Management Guidelines Section III (F), dated February 1, 2003, states in part:

"Each RC shall conduct a comprehensive physical inventory of all state-owned, nonexpendable equipment and sensitive equipment, as defined in Attachment A, at least once every three years. The inventory will be conducted per State Administrative Manual (SAM) Section 8652."

State Administrative Manual (SAM) 8652 states in part:

"Departments will make a physical count of all property and reconcile the count with accounting records at least once every three years."

Recommendation:

RCRC must ensure a comprehensive physical inventory is conducted at least once every three years to ensure compliance with the State's Equipment Management Guidelines, Section III (F) and the State Administrative Manual (SAM) 8652.

Ed Yan
August 22, 2022
Page 3 of 3

Response to Finding 2:

RCRC agrees with the finding and will ensure all parties involved in the procedure of conducting a comprehensive physical inventory are properly trained. Additionally, the Property Custodian will ensure they have received the proper documentation to support the completion of a comprehensive inventory has been performed. RCRC will also be completing a comprehensive inventory in FY 2022-23 and is being scheduled.



Kimberly Smalley, Ph.D
Executive Director

Enclosures(s)

cc: Amy Medina, RCRC
Carla Castaneda, DDS
Pete Cervinka, DDS
Brian Winfield, DDS
Jim Knight, DDS
Ernie Cruz, DDS
Vicky Lovell, DDS
Aaron Christian, DDS
Luciah Ellen Nzima, DDS
Oscar Perez, DDS

EXHIBITS

Finding #1 Exhibit 1 – Copies of processed invoices, copies of payments received, Letters to
Providers